

PEER-REVIEW

The editorial policy of the journal *Analele Banatului S. N. Arheologie-Istorie* is to publish high value studies, notes and reviews of historical, archaeological, museological, cultural history and restoration interest. In order to achieve our goal, the editorial board of the journal is composed of specialists in several domains (prehistory, antiquity, middle ages, modern and contemporary history), from our country and abroad. Among the foreign experts we mention professors Dr. John-Michael O'Shea (Michigan University) and Dr. Wolfram Schier (Freie Universität Berlin). Beside the prestige enjoyed in plan of scientific publishing, the two personalities have large experience in the publishing domain. They are the editors of the journals *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* and *Prähistorische Zeitschrift*.

Articles accepted for publication are divided between the members of the editorial board based on their topics and are subjected to an objective and high quality review process, in the system of "open peer-review".

After an initial review of the manuscript by the editorial board, it is sent to two expert reviewers, chosen from among experts in archeology and history, whose names remain unknown to the authors. After analyzing the manuscript, the reviewers complete a checklist (A) and, where appropriate, they send their suggestions to the authors (B) and their recommendations to the editorial board (C).

A. Checklist

Criteria	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Accurate formulation of title			
Relevance of abstract, key-words and integration to the content of the article			
Structure of the article, correlated with the subject			
Scientific quality of the article			
The innovation grade of the article			
Originality of the approach			
Accuracy, concise character of the presentation			
Language			
Solid argumentation of the presented subject			
Lack of errors, wrong concepts and ambiguities			
The subject follows the topic of the journal			
The critical apparatus supports the idea exposed in the text			

Citations of articles published in journals of national and international quotation (ISI, CNCSIS B/B+, A)			
---	--	--	--

B. Suggestions made to the authors

The reviewer sends the completed checklist to the editorial board. In case of recommendations, they will be noted briefly and synthetic within the word file containing the manuscripts.

C. Recommendations made by the editorial board

After reviewing the work, the reviewer's recommendation is:

1. Publication of the article in its original form, without modification.
2. Publication of the article with minimal changes.
3. Publication of the article in a revised form, taking into account the reviewer's recommendations.
4. The refusal of the publication of the article.